

---

# Idaho State Capitol Commission

---

## Official Minutes October 15, 2001

A meeting of the Idaho State Capitol Commission was held on this date in the House Caucus Room, #311, Idaho State Capitol Building. Chairman Roy Lewis Eiguren called the meeting to order at 1:35 p.m.

### **Attendees**

#### **Members Present:**

Roy Lewis Eiguren, Chairman  
Pam Ahrens, Director, Department of Administration, and Secretary/Ex-Officio Member  
Carl Bianchi, Director, Legislative Services Office, and Ex-Officio Member  
Andrew Erstad  
Steve Guerber, Director, State Historical Society, & Ex-Officio Member  
Stephen Hartgen  
Skip Smyser  
Will Storey

#### **Members Absent:**

Sandy Patano

#### **Others Present:**

Jeff Shneider, CSHQA Architects  
John Maulin, CSHQA Architects  
Allison McClintick, Policy Adviser, Office of the Governor  
Maria Barratt, Analyst, Division of Financial Management  
Jan Frew, Design/Construction Manager, Div. of Public Works, Dept. of Administration  
Tim Mason, State Facilities Manager, Division of Public Works, Department of Administration  
Rick Thompson, Administrator, Div. of Internal Management Systems, Dept. of Administration  
Jan Cox, Administrator, Division of Purchasing, Department of Administration  
Joanna Guilfooy, Deputy Attorney General, Department of Administration  
Jason Hancock, Analyst, Legislative Services Office

Jennifer Jones, es/drake  
Jeremy Chase, es/drake  
Diane Blume, Management Assistant, Department of Administration

## Approval of Minutes

**MOTION: Commissioner Erstad moved and Commissioner Bianchi seconded that the minutes of the September 20, 2001 Idaho State Capitol Commission meeting be approved as written. The motion unanimously passed.**

## Public Relations/Outreach

### License Plate Design

Commissioner Bianchi presented to the members, examples of Commission license plate designs. The plates will have the letter “R” designation as part of the identification number indicating it is a special plate. He asked that the Commission approve an official design of the license plate so the Idaho Transportation Department can begin production. He also asked the Commission to decide on its preferred written designation on the standard “sample” plate. Sample plates are souvenir plates, which cost \$30, and are not intended for use on vehicles. Although they can be personalized, options for the standard sample plates are “Captl” “Idaho” or “Restr”, he pointed-out.

To purchase the actual vehicle license plate initially, the cost is \$35; the renewal cost is \$25. Personalized plates cost an additional \$25. With the Capitol Commission receiving all but \$10 of the costs, a conservative figure of revenue for these plates is about \$300,000 over the next five years, he estimated.

**Motion: Commisioner Erstad moved and it was seconded by Commissioner Smyser that the Commission accept the design of the license plate as presented. It was passed unanimously with one abstention from voting by Chairman Eiguren, due to a business conflict of interest with 3M (producer of the plates).**

**Motion: Commissioner Hartgen moved and it was seconded by Commissioner Smyser that the standard sample plates include the designation “Idaho”. It was passed unanimously with one abstention from voting by Chairman Eiguren, due to a business conflict of interest with 3M (producer of the plates).**

Mr. Chase distributed a press release prepared by es/drake that will now be immediately issued to Idaho weekly and daily publications announcing the new plate. The digital image of the license plate will also be available to the press, and displayed on the state's website.

### **Communications/Outreach Program Budget**

Mr. Chase reported on status of projects that es/drake, the Commission's contract communications vendor, was assigned to complete by the Commission and/or by the Communications/Outreach Committee, chaired by Commissioner Patano. He provided the initial communications/outreach budget summary, approved by Commissioners at its July meeting, including the newsletter, press kit, logo refinements, July kickoff event, and road show for a total cost not to exceed \$9,193.

The updated budget, he pointed out, more specifically includes 3,000 newsletters, 50 completed press kits, and logo refinements that change the wording from "Capitol Commission" to "Capitol Restoration 2001-2005". Also included is a new item, update of the Commission brochures, which were done at a cost of \$1,565, and was not a part of the original approved budget, he said.

The new budget lists expenditures of \$2,711 for the July kick-off, but the Commission had budgeted \$850 for the event. More funds were required for the ceremony to be successful, he explained. The original budget was only for media coordination; however, a photographer and videographer were hired, and a cake was provided, for example. The cost for the road show also increased from the original budget due to additional time needed to coordinate the event and to complete the design of a PowerPoint presentation, etc. The design work on the license plate was not included in the original budget either, but was requested by the Commission and ended up costing \$2,450.

There are two new items that the Communications/Outreach Committee asked to be included on the list of deliverables, he said, that have not been approved by the Commission. One is for photography and videography services, to be done in conjunction with CSHQA and the Historical Society to document the project in a permanent record. A final cost estimate for this work is being developed.

Another new item in the budget is Capitol Rebar Tokens. Correctional Industries has utilized the historic rebar taken from demolition of the stairways to create a prototype commemorative token. The rebar is cut into 4-inch lengths and buffed on three sides. Using a screening process, he said, the Capitol logo is applied along with text that reads "*Original steel framework taken from the Capitol east steps, Capitol Restoration Project, August, 2001*". He provided cost estimates for the tokens at different quantities and in black or color with the intention that they be utilized in a fund raising plan, or provided as gifts. The cost for production is about \$8 to \$10 each.

Chairman Eiguren suggested that before a decision is made on further communications/outreach expenditures, the Committee provide a new plan with recommendations for formal approval by the Commission. Commissioner Ahrens pointed out in July that the Commission approved communications expenditures up to \$9,193, but to date \$10,168 has been spent with outstanding invoices of \$4,646. Her concern, she said, is the difficulty in approving invoices when budget items are estimated and the Department has no method to verify whether portions of the project have actually been completed. This group must decide what it can afford and how much it wants to spend, she expressed. Better controls need to be in place for cost over runs, and estimates need to be more exact.

Chairman Eiguren requested the Communications/Outreach Committee develop an overall budget for future communications/outreach plans. The members agreed that until then, payments not-to-exceed \$14,815 will be spent on communications services until the Committee can develop an overall budget for the balance of this fiscal year, and evaluate in time for the November Commission meeting the two pending items—photography/videography and rebar tokens.

## **Design/Construction**

### **Status of Project Manager RFP**

Commissioner Erstad explained the Project Manager Request for Proposals have been sent out and are due back to Public Works on October 24. Oral interviews are scheduled for early November.

### **Delegation/Protocol of Design Review Authority of Committee**

The Design/Construction Committee has been meeting on a regular basis with the intent to keep the design development moving forward, Commissioner Erstad continued. Consequently, there are a number of items that need to be discussed with the Commission at this time--one being a proposed design approval protocol. Ms. Frew distributed a proposed protocol which would allow the Committee to address small design issues that come up during the restoration project.

The Schematic Design is complete, she explained, and a presentation will be made at this meeting to review what the Committee has recommended in terms of deviations from the Master Plan and space use. The Commission will be asked to approve the completed schematic design and authorize the architects to move forward to the design development phase.

Under Design Development, the protocol would allow for the delegation of some decision-making authority to the Design/Construction Committee who can address design issues as they arise, thus preventing delays on the project, she said. This authority would not include three areas that are to remain constant during design development. Should any of the design decisions affect the issues listed below, they would be brought to the full Commission for consideration. Further, if it is a time sensitive decision, it would be addressed via a conference call with the Commission members.

1. Change in space use
2. Deviation from the Master Plan
3. Significant change from the Schematic Design

Once the design development documents are complete, they would be provided to the Commission for approval as well. Subsequently, they would be presented to the Permanent Building Fund Advisory Council for approval. The Construction Document phase would follow much the same process, she said.

**Motion: Commissioner Erstad moved and seconded by Commissioner Storey that the Commission accept the Design Protocol as submitted. It was passed unanimously.**

The Design/Construction Committee, which is chaired by Commissioner Erstad, consists of Commissioners Ahrens, Bianchi, and Guerber. The group meets regularly with representatives from CSHQA and DPW, and will also include the soon to be hired Project Manager as a participant. The first application of this protocol, Commissioner Erstad explained, is in addressing a series of design recommendations from this Committee.

### **Report on Master Plan Deviations**

Mr. Shneider introduced Mr. Maulin as the new CSHQA contact for the Capitol Eestoration project; Mr. Lowe is retiring from the firm. During the schematic design process, which was based on the Commission-approved Master Plan, some of the items contained in the original plan were modified. At the time the schematic documents were complete, each of the Commissioners was provided a set of the plans for review, as was the Capitol Architect at that time, (Donna Hartmans), who was charged with conducting a line-by-line review. Several of the issues Ms. Hartmans brought up had to do with historic building preservation issues versus a working building. During the design, he said, treating the structure as a working building took president over historic preservation in areas that were to be functional.

Modified design issues that were requested by the Legislature include *retaining the raised floor and carpeting in the House and the Senate chambers*. The Master Plan indicated this area was to be returned to a flat cork floor that currently exists

below the raised and carpeted floor. The Design/Construction Committee concurred with this request, Mr. Maulin said, on the grounds that this particular restoration element can always be accomplished at another time.

The second request is to *retain the wall at the perimeter of the 4<sup>th</sup> floor House and Senate private galleries*. The Master Plan indicated the space is to go back to its historic condition, which does not include a wall. The Committee recommended the wall be replaced as requested, but to add partial glazing so the essence of the historical open area would be created, while still providing privacy for the galleries. Curtains can also be hung over the walls and drawn back to give the overall feel of the 1920's and 30's, he said.

It was also discussed in the Master Plan that *walls on both the House and Senate side would be relocated to the same location*. Essentially this would mean that the House wall would be moved back in line with the Senate perimeter wall, Mr. Maulin said. However, the Legislature indicated it did not need the House wall moved, and the Committee concurred. Curtains will be added to the walls in both the House and in the Senate to provide the needed acoustics.

Another request from the Legislature is to *retain the non-original entrance doors from the rotunda to the House and Senate chambers*. The Committee concurred and will review with security advisors the application of the door. Commissioner Erstad reminded the group that a lot of these changes are not affecting permanent solutions—if future groups would like to restore them to the original condition, it would be possible.

Mr. Maulin further pointed out that the Legislature wants *to retain carpeting in the House and Senate Caucus Rooms versus restoration of the original cork flooring*. This was decided for acoustical purposes, although the cork flooring can be restored at a later date, he said. The Committee concurred.

Finally, he said, since 2 of the 4 original light shafts are going to be utilized for an accessible toilet room and a high performance elevator, *artificial light will be staged in those shafts to provide the appearance of sunlight entering the shaft and matching the other two open shafts*.

Mr. Shneider noted the arrangement of the *garden level of the building is still under consideration*, although it has been determined by the Commission to rehabilitate the area for IPTV and other media and office spaces. Chairman Eiguren said he strongly feels the garden level space should be made available as a staging point for school children to tour and learn about the building, and to provide for exhibition space.

*A prototype chamber desk is being designed*, Mr. Shneider reported. Once one is constructed, Legislative Leadership and the Commission can authorize

proceeding with production. The idea is to construct an historically appearing desk that is able to accommodate the latest technology.

Modified design issues requested by the Capitol Architect (Donna Hartmans) include *retaining the current single-pane windows in the dome drum* in lieu of replacing them with divided-light units, as proposed by CSHQA and in accordance with the original design documents. The Committee concurred with the Capitol Architect's comment.

Ms. Hartmans also suggested that *new bronze handrails be utilized in the rotunda and chambers*. The Committee disagreed and suggested red brass instead, and in some areas painted railings to help them fade into the background.

She recommended the *fifth floor mezzanine be finished to preserve the historic graffiti*. The Committee concurred and recommended utilizing Plexiglas or viewing windows to see graffiti and the inner-workings of the Capitol. Details will be resolved during the design development phase, Commissioner Erstad said. Also in this area, false ceilings will be removed and the barrel vault redefined to accommodate Statuary Hall below.

### **Space Use**

Mr. Shneider illustrated the layout of space uses for the first and second floors as determined by the elected officials. A compromise struck between the officials allows for the utilization of a second floor common executive conference area with service spaces that would be available for use by any one of the elected officials, including the two currently housed outside the building. The illustrated spaces for each of the officials represents the amount of space they each have now—not on their proposed spaces, he clarified.

The Attorney General will move into the second floor east wing, and his vacated office will be utilized for this executive common meeting area with the Lieutenant Governor located immediately next door. The Attorney General also has additional space on the first floor. The rest of the Attorneys General offices, which are currently scattered, will be co-located in one location outside of the building. The Secretary of State will remain on the second floor east wing; however, its UCC offices, currently in the garden level, will be moved outside the building. The Governor's Office will remain on the second floor west wing, and the Division of Financial Management, first floor west wing.

Finally, Mr. Shneider also pointed out that in laying out the original toilet rooms located throughout the building, particularly on the legislative floors, the feeling was that the space plan layout was much more critical than the location of the current or original restrooms. The location of the original restroom on the third floor is a spot that has been identified for a functioning office.

## Site Plan

The Master Plan indicated that issues regarding bus parking and traffic around the Statehouse should be addressed. In the schematic packet presented to the Commission last spring, Mr. Shneider said, proposed were two bus areas on each side of the building. The Committee agreed to not include the bus parking because of its infringement on the grounds.

It was the Capitol Architect's opinion that the existing sidewalk materials should be retained, but Mr. Shneider said it would be very difficult to protect them during construction. It was agreed that two new sidewalks leading directly from the east and west steps to the perimeter sidewalk would be added. These walks were outlined in the original plan but not constructed, he said. The Committee also discussed that all of the site concrete should be replaced for consistent appearance.

The extent of security elements as part of the design contract, Ms. Frew said, include infrastructure and conduit for possible future security measures such as metal detectors, additional cameras, etc.

Commissioner Ahrens reported that last August, Legislative Leadership asked her, along with Director Strickfaden and General Kane to come up with some recommendations for security improvements. As a result, Leadership requested a magnetic locking system be added to the doors of the Statehouse, which will be accomplished this week, she said. Also, Leadership requested additional security cameras be placed in the House and Senate galleries. For the upcoming budget year, Administration is also requesting funds for a portable metal detector which could be used anywhere on the Capitol Complex.

**Motion: Commissioner Erstad moved and Commissioner Storey seconded that the Commission approve the recommendations for modifications to the plans that are a variation from the Master Plan, and recognize that the schematic layout of the garden level is to fall within the rehabilitation criteria, although the exact design has not been approved, and that the Commission accept the executive branch schematic space use layout as presented. The members passed it unanimously.**

Ms. Frew clarified that the Master Plan recommended the basement be rehabilitated, as there was not anything significant enough to restore or to preserve in that space. The Commission had suggested the use of the basement for a exhibition/education center as part of its planning process. However, the plan was not accepted by Legislative Leadership, she said. The use of the garden level as suggested by Leadership meets criteria of the master plan; however, its use is not what the Commission had intended.

Chairman Eiguren added that during a recent discussion with Legislative Leadership, it was determined the basement space would be rehabilitated and the media moved back in. However, this is with the understanding that at some point in the future, the media may be moved out if the Legislature should choose to utilize the space for an exhibit hall.

### **Prequalification Legislation**

Commissioner Ahrens explained that the Division of Financial Management asked the Department to propose legislation for possible inclusion in the Governor's legislative package of bills for 2002. The Commission had discussed using only pre-qualified contractors for any work done on the Statehouse. In order to do this for a public works project, the laws need to be changed.

Ms. Guilfooy explained the proposed legislation would add a new section to Administration's statute that would allow Public Works to pre-qualify contractors and subcontractors on projects for the construction/restoration of the Capitol Building. There are two provisions to the proposed bill—Section 1 is the operative provision and Section 2 includes the emergency and sunset clause.

The operative provision allows for the Administrator of the Division of Public Works to require contractors and subcontractors to be pre-qualified in order to work on the Capitol, and included in the section is the list of the 6 criteria.

The first criterion states that adequate written notice be given. The second states only contractors and subcontractors licensed in Idaho are eligible for pre-qualification. Thirdly, at least five general contractors and subcontractors are to be pre-qualified for the construction. Four says pre-qualification will be based on written criteria and procedures established by the Administrator of the Division of Public Works and approved by the Permanent Building Fund Advisory Council. Fifth states that following the pre-qualification determination, competitive bidding will take place; and finally, it states that only pre-qualified contractors shall be permitted to bid, and that subcontractors would also need to be pre-qualified.

Commissioner Ahrens explained that this pre-qualification legislation applies only for the Statehouse. The larger issue of pre-qualifying contractors for other public works projects would need to be addressed on a much larger scale. Commissioner Erstad noted the sunset clause of this legislation is June 30, 2008. Since this building is going to be around for a long time, he said, the legislation will hopefully be extended. Commissioner Ahrens noted that this is a very new concept and it needs a bit more input from the construction community. If the pre-qualification process does not work, this sunset clause allows it to be re-evaluated. It was the consensus of the Commission that the legislation be

submitted as presented for inclusion in the Governor's package of proposed bills for the 2002 legislative session.

### **Update on the Exterior Stairs Replacement/Masonry Repairs Project**

Ms. Frew reported that work is continuing on the east stairs. A concrete pour is scheduled for the following day for the lid to cover the room located under the steps. Next week more of the elevated planes that support the stairs will be poured. The original intent of the stair replacement design was to place the stairs at the same location where originally set.

Since the Commission last met, she said, the stairs were torn out and it was discovered that several areas of the sandstone had been gouged. Additionally, there was a visible line of sealant that was used where the old stairs were butted-up against the sandstone. That proved to be impossible to remove without grinding down some of the sandstone. The Design/Construction Committee felt that grinding off the sealant would be too damaging, and decided to have the stairs placed back to where the most recent ones were located. It is anticipated the east stairs will be completed prior to the upcoming legislative session. The west stairs will be initiated after the east stairs are done sometime in January.

Scaffolding has been constructed around one-quarter of the building, Ms. Frew continued. There are only about four workers available at one time to conduct the re-pointing work. They have experienced a learning curve as far as getting the grout mix consistency just right and matching the existing grout color as closely as possible. If this winter is not too harsh, she said, the completion date for the exterior work is anticipated for May of 2002.

## **Budget and funding Issues**

### **Revenue**

Commissioner Storey presented a proposed funding plan for the restoration. The Legislature approved \$32 million from the General Fund and the Commission was tasked with identifying methods to accrue an additional \$32 million by such means as special license plates, private sector contributions, and bonds

The plan also addresses the Capitol Permanent Endowment Fund, which has been evaluated in cooperation with the State Land Board and an outside firm, Mason, Bruce & Girard, to appraise the lands and forecast timber revenues over the next 20 years. For planning purposes, the Commission is utilizing their estimate of \$11,632,000 in revenues for the endowment year period 2005 to 2025. Since these revenues will not be received evenly from one year to the next over this

period, an average was projected of \$581,600 in receipts annually that the Commission could expect to receive.

The plan also uses total revenue projections of \$300,000 in fees from sale of the special license plates from 2002 to 2006, he continued. Additionally, based on the Commission's private sector fundraising feasibility study, a statewide fundraising campaign is being organized with a \$2 million goal for the period 2002 to 2004. The remainder of the funding is to be secured through Idaho State Building Authority (ISBA) bonds, he said. There would be two fundamental documents as part of this transaction—a ground lease and a facilities lease.

The State Land Board would issue a ground lease for the Capitol Building and its land to the ISBA for up to 50 years, and there would be a nominal annual rental on that lease. As part of the facilities lease, the ISBA agrees to finance the improvements to the Capitol through issuing bonds. The documents provide that at the end of the lease term the improvements would revert to and become the sole property of the State. The lease payment would be equal to the amount required for the debt service, he said, and the security for bondholders is the facilities lease with the Department of Administration. An annual appropriation would be made to Administration for the lease payments and the ISBA operating expenses. The capitol endowment earnings would be deposited in the Capitol Endowment Income Fund and would be available for appropriation by the Legislature.

Commissioner Storey further explained that the Financing Committee has reviewed when it would be most appropriate to issue these bonds. The interest rates right now are low, and although they could go lower, the risk of the rates going up is much larger. He said the Committee also felt it appropriate to have all of the funds in place before a contract is signed for construction. The Legislature gave the Commission the authority to issue these bonds, and it is anticipated that they will be taken to market beginning the first quarter of next year.

The Committee agreed that once they have been received, the bond payments should be spent at the earliest payout. Those bonds can only be invested for short-term and would allow the present Commission funds to be invested in long-term investments. Based on the Committee's analysis, he said, bonds totaling \$24 million would be necessary for completion of the project, and require an annual debt service of an estimated \$1.8 million. This amount would be offset by whatever timber revenues are estimated, averaging \$581,600 annually, leaving an average net of \$1,252,500 to come from the General Account or from other sources for the next 20 years.

There are several existing sources of funding and estimated future revenues that can be used to reduce the amount to be raised through bonds, and include:

\$32 million of General Fund Appropriation

\$6.9 million interest on \$32 million General Fund Appropriation at 6%  
 over 3.5 years  
 \$2 million Capitol Endowment Income Fund available revenue 2001-2005  
 \$175,000 Capitol Commission Appropriation for Lighting  
 \$663,500 PBF Appropriation for Sprinkler Systems  
 \$2 million Private Donations (projected)  
 \$300,000 License Plate Program (projected)

---

\$44,038,500

The amount of additional revenue needed to finance the remaining balance of the \$63.9 million capitol restoration project is estimated to be \$19.9 million. He said based on their analysis, the needed net bond proceeds could be achieved by a bond issue of \$24 million, which would produce the results outlined as follows:

Bond Par Amount	\$24,000,000
Capitalized Interest @ 5% Jan '02 – July '05	(\$ 3,648,000)
Bond Insurance Premium	(\$ 93,600)
Reserve for Surety Premium	(\$ 36,000)
Other Issuance Costs	(\$ 240,000)
Total Issuance Costs	(\$ 4,017,600)
<b>NET BOND PROCEEDS</b>	<b>\$19,982,400</b>

**MOTION: Commissioner Smyser moved and seconded by Commissioner Erstad that the Commission adopt the Master Plan funding program as presented. The motion passed unanimously.**

### **Idaho Heritage Trust Grant Application**

Commissioner Patano asked that the Commission submit a grant proposal to the Idaho Heritage Trust by the September 30<sup>th</sup> deadline for funds to be used toward restoration of the Statehouse. This was done, Chairman Eiguren reported, and the outcome will be reported to the Commission.

### **Capitol Endowment Income Fund Balance**

Mr. Thompson reported on the final cash flow statement for FY2001 including short and long-term revenue earnings accrued over the past year, plus the Commission's total uses of funds for that period, which total \$982,419. Most of these expenses went toward completion of the Master Plan. Also reported are the total private contributions to the Community Foundation to date.

The Sources and Uses of Funds statement for FY02, he said, is designed differently to illustrate how funds from the General Fund and Income Fund are utilized (see attached).

### **Expenditures**

Mr. Thompson distributed the FY2002 budget report to date reflecting the 2% reduction the Commission requested be applied at its last meeting. The reduction is reflective of the Governor's request for ongoing reductions from the General Fund of all state agency budgets. To meet the Governor's directive, \$3,600 of out-of-state travel expenses were eliminated, and the budget for professional services/outreach was reduced by \$1,096. The actual operating expenses to date, he said, are \$3,945, the majority of which were for administrative support and capitol architect services.

### **Staffing**

Members of the Commission have been moving forward with the RFP process for retaining individuals as independent contractors to perform the administrative coordinator and fundraiser functions for the Commission, Chairman Eiguren said. There is about \$140,000 available to the Commission for the balance of this fiscal year that could be allocated between the two contracts, he said.

Mr. Cox noted in order to issue an RFP from the Division of Purchasing, a description of what the Commission would like the two positions to do would be necessary. Commissioner Ahrens stressed the Division will especially need clear direction from the Fundraising Committee regarding the fundraiser in order to avoid any missteps.

In addition to the assignment made earlier in the meeting to Commissioner Patano and the Communications/Outreach Committee, the Commission also requested she and the Fundraising Committee identify specific tasks and functions of the proposed fundraiser position. Chairman Eiguren indicated he would work with the Committee members to keep this project moving so that a recommendation can be made to the Commission at its November meeting.

**MOTION: Commissioner Guerber moved and seconded by Commissioner Erstad that the Commission approve the issuance of an RFP for the administrative coordinator position. The motion passed. Commissioner Ahrens voted no and Commissioners Storey and Bianchi were absent from voting.**

Commissioner Ahrens added the Assistant position description was approved by the Commission at its last meeting, and currently the Department is interviewing individuals to fill that half-time spot.

## **General Commission Business**

## **Statehouse Exhibit**

Commissioner Guerber reported the Capitol Display Committee has submitted a letter to the Capitol Commission expressing concern about a display case that has begun to deteriorate as a result of exposure to consistent natural light. The display is of items from the Apollo XVII mission of 1972, and the Skylab Space Station of 1973, the 1986 Challenger mission, and the first manned lunar landing. The Committee is asking that the display be removed and replaced. Chairman Eiguren asked that action on this item be deferred until the next Commission meeting.

## **Adjournment**

The October 15, 2001 Idaho Capitol Commission meeting adjourned at 4:30 p.m.

---

Roy Lewis Eiguren, Chairman  
Idaho State Capitol Commission

---

Diane K. Blume, Management Assistant  
Department of Administration