Idaho State Capitol Commission

Official Minutes
May 7, 1999

A meeting of the Idaho State Capitol Commission was held on this date in the House Caucus Room, Room #311, Idaho State Capitol Building. Chairman Eiguren called the meeting to order at 8:40 p.m.

Attendees

Members Present:
Roy Lewis Eiguren, Chairman
Pam Ahrens, Director, Department of Administration, and Secretary/Ex-Officio Member
Sondra Dahl-Hansen
Andrew Erstad
Stephen Hartgen
Louise Shadduck

Absent and Excused:
Skip Smyser
Carl Bianchi, Director, Legislative Services Office and Ex-Officio Member
Steve Guerber, Director, State Historical Society and Ex-Officio Member

Others Staff Present:
Donna Hartmans, Capitol Architect, Arrow Rock Architects
Ben Hill, Arrow Rock Architects
Jan Frew, Senior Project Manager, Division of Public Works
Joanna Guilfoy, Deputy Attorney General, Department of Administration
Rick Thompson, Internal Management Systems Administrator, Department of Administration
Jan Cox, Administrator, Division of Purchasing
Lyle Gessford, Purchasing Officer, Division of Purchasing
Diane Garcia, Management Assistant, Department of Administration
Approval of Minutes

Director Ahrens requested clarification of the FY99 budget discussion that was reflected in the February 8, 1999 minutes. The appropriation of $175,000 is to actually replace light fixtures in public corridors on all floors of the Capitol, not just the first floor. Additionally, a more accurate description for the $600,000 appropriation is for repairs to the masonry exterior, and not necessarily for cleaning.

MOTION: Subject to the changes outlined above, Director Ahrens moved the minutes of the February 8, 1999 Idaho State Capitol Commission meeting be approved as written. Ms. Shadduck seconded the motion and the Commission passed it unanimously by the members present.

Review Agenda for Press Conference

Scheduled for the morning break, Chairman Eiguren said, is a press conference on the fourth floor of the Statehouse staged in front of the Spanish-American War Battle Flags. The purpose of the press conference is to unveil the Commission’s official logo (subject to Commission approval), introduce Donna Hartmans as the Architect of the Capitol, announce the contractual relationship with the Idaho Community Foundation (also subject to Commission approval), and announce the initiation of the master planning process and restoration project for the Battle Flags. President Pro Tem, Senator Jerry Twiggs and Speaker of the House, Representative Bruce Newcomb are also participants in the press conference.

Battle Flags Project/Fundraising

Included as part of the Commission’s FY99 budget is the restoration of three Spanish-American War Battle Flags from the First Idaho Volunteer Regiment. These flags are currently located on the fourth floor of the Capitol.

The Commission had agreed, Chairman Eiguren recalled, that it would engage in fundraising efforts for a portion of the $25,000 estimated for the project. The fundraising project has been on hold until the Commission has had an opportunity to interact with the Idaho Community Foundation and work out an agreement as a vehicle to actually receive the moneys that will be raised.

Once the Commission approves the Foundation, letters will be sent to the various veterans groups statewide asking for their support and assistance in funding this restoration project.
Approve Logo and Review Website Development

Logo

Bill Drake, of Elgin Syferd Drake Communications, presented an updated version of the logo designs. The Commission’s subcommittee decided on two different approaches. One design is an official look that is representative of the Commission’s work; and the other, a celebratory design for restoration events to be used on such things as signage, banners and the website.

The official logo utilizes a portion of an original mechanical drawing of the dome. Mr. Erstad reported he has contacted Mr. Charles Hummel who holds rights to the dome’s mechanical rendering. Mr. Hummel indicated this specific drawing is considered public domain, and he expressed his support for its use as part of the logo design. Mr. Erstad submitted a letter to Mr. Hummel summarizing their discussion and proposed use of the rendering. Director Ahrens asked that the letter be made part of the Commission’s official minutes.

MOTION: Ms. Shadduck moved the Commission accept both logos for the two purposes explained above. Mr. Erstad seconded the motion and the Commission passed it unanimously by the members present. Director Guerber and Director Bianchi submitted in writing prior to the meeting, their official votes in favor of both logos.

Website Design

Mr. Jeremy Chase of Elgin Syferd Drake provided an update and brief demonstration of the Commission website. A subcommittee has been meeting to determine elements of the website and content of information to be included. To date, the subcommittee has chosen a design style for the website pages and nearly accumulated the first wave of information for inclusion onto the site.

He reminded the Commission that it had approved the Level II design of the website that includes animation, video, and interactive features. June 18th is the goal for the initial launch for reviewing the website by selected individuals. Should the site be approved by the Commission at its next meeting, July 28th, the website will be available on the world wide web.

Idaho Community Foundation Presentation

Chairman Eiguren and Director Ahrens have been negotiating with the Community Foundation to work with the Commission in its fund raising efforts.
A proposed contractual agreement has been prepared for the Commission’s consideration.

Ms. Alice Hennessey, President and Executive Director, and Ms. Bobbette Youmans, Grants/Fiscal Officer for the Foundation were present to address the Commission. Ms. Hennessey provided background of the Foundation and noted it is the only Community Foundation in Idaho, although there are over 540 throughout the United States. The Foundation concept is a collection of individual funds set-up by donors to do exactly what they want to do as set forth in a legal document, signed by both parties. Most are endowments, although some are not. The great advantage to the donors is better and more cost effective management of their money.

The Idaho Community Foundation is 10 years old and has its roots with the Whittenberger Foundation in Caldwell. Because of the many applications it received, it became very conscious of the fact there is a tremendous scarcity of philanthropic dollars available to address all of the needs in Idaho.

Consequently, the Whittenberger Foundation asked Mr. John Fery, who was then Chairman and CEO of Boise Cascade, to serve as the first chairman of the Foundation. Great care was taken to assure that this Foundation acted as a statewide entity and not dominated by the Boise area, she said. The Foundation adopted the commitment early on that the state’s three regions cannot have more than 48% or less than 25% of the Board’s membership. They have also taken any unrestricted dollars and divided them equally amongst the state.

The Foundation’s assets to this date, she said, are $24.1 million. Of that amount, $21.2 million is permanent endowment and the rest is special project funds of one kind or another. There are 180 individual funds in the Foundation today.

For the purposes of the Capitol Commission, two separate funds are proposed to be established in the Foundation. One is a special project fund that is not an endowment. It could be set-up to collect the funds that are needed to do the restoration of the Capitol. Private individuals can contribute to this fund through the Foundation for the Capitol and the moneys will be used only for that purpose. When these contributions are made to the Foundation, they are the assets of the Community Foundation. The Foundation is not a trustee or a bank. For that the Foundation’s fees are 2% of the contributions that are made and if the funds stay in there longer than 6 months, then an addition 2% is collected. There is a lot of work that is done on these types of funds, she said. The Foundation tracks all of the individual contributions, collects pledges, sends out pledge reminders and acknowledgements when the pledge comes in, and provides statements to the Commission on an ongoing basis with a status of the fund. Once construction
begins, the money is paid back to pay the bills. This will go on as long as the restoration project is in process.

The other fund that the Commission could establish is the more traditional kind of Community Foundation Fund. It is a permanent endowment that will be there in perpetuity so individuals can contribute, and the funds are distributed every year for preservation of the Capitol. People have a choice to either donate right now for the restoration, or contribute to the permanent endowment to be in place forever to pay for preservation in years to come, she said. Charges for managing that fund are much less—1% of the average annual value of the fund.

She explained once the restoration work is complete, should funds remain in the restoration fund, they can be transferred into the permanent endowment fund. Also, she said, should the entire effort fail and not go forward as planned, and if there is more than $25,000 in the special project fund, the moneys would become a fund within the Community Foundation used for the preservation of historic public buildings in the state, as determined by the Idaho Historical Society.

Ms. Shadduck recommended this type of update on the Community Foundation and its involvement with the Capitol Commission be offered through the media on a regular basis across the State. Ms. Hennessey suggested progress reports could be done announcing major donations statewide. Additionally, this information will be placed in the Foundation’s newsletter that goes out to over 13,000 individuals.

As directed by the Commission, Chairman Eiguren, Director Ahrens, and Ms. Guilfoyl have been working with Ms. Hennessey and her counsel to review, modify and negotiate the contract, and to make sure that fees are appropriate. Chairman Eiguren indicated the fees to be assessed may even be on the low end for the Idaho Community Foundation.

MOTION: Mr. Hartgen moved the Commission approve the contract for entering into a relationship between the Idaho State Capitol Commission and the Idaho Community Foundation. Ms. Shadduck seconded the motion and the Commission passed is unanimously by the members present.

Mr. Hartgen commented this is exactly the direction the Commission should be going. The Idaho Community Foundation is the premier foundation in this state for this type of function. Our linkage to it gives us additional leverage in both the fund raising and confidence building for the management and structure of this endeavor, he said.
Report by Capitol Architect

Project Schedule

Ms. Hartmans went over the project schedule delineating the work in progress. The first 23 items of the schedule, she said, have been accomplished. Some of the items include researching other capitols and commissions across the country, as well as getting Idaho’s schedule designed and underway.

Subsequent items include the RFP for the Capitol Building master planning consultant and the responsibility of that professional in terms of looking at the Capitol, its existing conditions, and problems and concerns to be addressed.

December of 2000 is targeted for implementation of the projects anticipated to occur over the following four to five years. This is an aggressive, working schedule and it should be, Ms. Frew added, which is especially necessary to meet the State’s funding cycles.

Subcommittees and Chairs

A chart describing how the public subcommittees will fit into the project scheme was presented to the Commission. Each of the Commissioners, she said, will be assigned to work with one or more of the major topics—infrastructure, space utilization, outreach.

Supporting these main topics are smaller groups--subcommittees. Subcommittees, under the topic of “Infrastructure” include Electrical Systems, Mechanical Systems, Capitol Environment, and Construction. Under “Space Utilization” will be Space Quality and Space Use subcommittees. Under “Outreach” is Communication, Fund Raising, and Social subcommittees. At this point, she said, chairs for each of the subcommittees need to be identified, and statewide volunteers identified to serve in each of the groups.

The Electrical subcommittee, for example, may attract individuals interested in power, lighting and data issues. The Mechanical subcommittee will address HVAC, plumbing, and fixtures, while the Environment subcommittee will address maintenance and operation, security, and landscaping. The Construction subcommittee will focus on code, construction and contracts. The Space Quality subcommittee may attract individuals interested in acoustic, fine art, and furnishings, and the Space Use subcommittee will address executive, legislative and public spaces. Communications will look at community relations, government, and rumor control, while Social will oversee tours, special events, and volunteers.
Volunteers will be solicited at today’s 10:30 press conference, she said. It is anticipated groups will remain together for about the next six to eight months until the master plan is developed. The team of master planning professionals, soon to be selected, will work with these groups from around the state to help define work to be done for the restoration of the building.

Stephen Hartgen suggested a group be added to the chart entitled Legislative Working Group. Chairman Eiguren indicated tying in the Legislature into this process has been addressed, and it was suggested that each time Legislative Council meets, he and Director Ahrens take the opportunity to update the Council on the progress of the Commission.

The Commission brainstormed a list of names for possible chairs. A few of the suggested individuals included State Superintendent of Public Instruction, Marilyn Howard; Marc Johnson from the Gallent Group; Joe Marshall, retiring CEO of Idaho Power; and, Paul Windley, Dean of the University of Idaho Art and Architecture Department. Chairman Eiguren stressed these individuals should have the appropriate skill level and time to be the key person for each of the subcommittees. He also noted that individuals involved with the subcommittees must realize they will not be eligible to bid on any of the work that will be done as part of this project, in order to avoid any conflict.

Capitol Commission Press Conference

The Commission adjourned briefly for a press conference on the fourth floor of the Capitol rotunda in front of the Spanish American War battle flags. At this time the Commission’s official logo was unveiled; the state’s new Capitol Architect, Donna Hartmans introduced; volunteers for the public-involved subcommittees requested; Idaho Community Foundation partnership introduced; and, the battle flag restoration project announced.

Report by Capitol Architect, continued

Request For Proposals (RFP) for Master Plan

Master plan RFP’s from other states, specifically Texas and Montana, were researched in preparation for the design of Idaho’s master plan RFP, Ms. Hartmans explained. In a section of the RFP, “Special Requirements”, firms will be asked to provide their approach and timeline to the project which will be compared to the timeline developed by herself and the Commission.
The RFP will be distributed, responses received back, oral interviews conducted, and firms ranked in time for approval by the July 6th Permanent Building Fund Advisory Council meeting.

The RFP describes two stages of the overall project. The initial step is the assembly of a team of professionals qualified to prepare a master plan and conduct analysis. This work will be done in conjunction with input by the public subcommittees. Costs will be determined for the total project and then phases identified for completion over the next five years or so, in order to strategize the securing of sufficient funding.

The second stage is the actual implementation of the individual renovation projects, she said. She reminded the Commission that the professional contract will continue for the duration of the project, beyond the initial planning stage so that a new professional does not need to be selected for each portion of the work. The “teams” that respond to the RFP will more than likely include an expert specializing in restoration projects on a large scale, as well as a Boise firm that has experience dealing with the Capitol Building and Public Works, Ms. Frew anticipated. The third element of the team will be the various consultants.

Mr. Erstad added the RFP is written in such a way that should the selected firm not perform as desired, the state can sever the contract.

MOTION: Mr. Erstad moved the Commission approve for issuance the proposed RFP with the modification that the date for submittals be June 1st rather than May 25th, and that oral interviews be scheduled for June 23rd. Director Ahrens seconded the motion the Commission passed it unanimously by the members present.

The Commission identified members of the selection committee for the master plan consultant include Mr. Bianchi and Mr. Smyser representing the Commission, Mr. Osgood or Mr. Despot, and Ms. Frew from the Division of Public Works, and one architect-at-large who in this case will be Mr. Erstad. Ms. Hartmans will also sit in on the interviews as the advisor to the Commission members.

**Review FY99 and FY00 Budgets**

**FY99 Budget**

A supplemental request for FY99 of $198,800 was approved by the Legislature this year for the Capitol Commission, Mr. Thompson explained. He distributed
the budget and explained where some revisions had been made for such things as increasing moneys for the website development, reducing travel, and deferring expenditures for brochure development and printing for the time being. The capitol architect was not brought on board as early as planned, which also left some unspent funds.

Under the FY99 Capitol Budget, $2,600 had been budgeted to repair the Winged Victory statue; however, the Historical Society conducted the needed repairs itself, and the Commission decided to defer construction of a protective fencing until the master planning process. Consequently, about $1,000 of this amount was reprogrammed, he said, to upgrade one of the department’s servers in order to host the Commission’s new website. Unreserved funds, therefore, total $1,600.

**FY00 Budget**

Added into the FY00 budget was $3,000 for the fund raising contractor fee. Adjusted to accommodate this addition was a reduction in meeting and out-of-state travel costs, as well as postage, he explained.

Director Ahrens remarked the logo is being acquired from Elgin Syferd Drake through a purchase order wherein the state will own all of the rights. Separately, a contract is in the process of being signed not-to-exceed $16,000 for the website development. Because the state has a $25,000 purchase limitation without having to go out to bid, this will be all that we will purchase from this firm for the website development. Any additional marketing will have to be done in compliance with the purchasing laws of the state.

The Commission agreed to defer three projects, originally budged for FY99, in order for their design to be incorporated into the overall master plan. One is $2,600 to repair and fence the Winged Victory statue, $2,000 for improvements to the case displaying the statue of George Washington, and $2,000 for rotunda signage. From these moneys, $1,000 will also be used for the server enhancement, but the remaining funds could be utilized for other capitol projects.

The Historical Society is building a Statehouse kiosk, Ms. Ahrens mentioned, and they are very limited on available funds. She proposed the unrestricted $1,600 be utilized to assist in that construction.

**MOTION:** Ms. Shadduck moved the Commission reappropriate the unallocated $1,600 for use by the Historical Society for completion of the Statehouse kiosk, and not to expend funds for the Washington case improvements nor the rotunda signage. Mr. Erstad seconded the motion and the Commission passed it unanimously by the members present.
Report on Fundraising RFP

The proposed RFP for a fund raising consultant will be designed in two phases, Mr. Gessford pointed-out. Phase one will be a feasibility study of the fundraising scope and approach, and the second phase will actually be the implementation of the fund raising plan.

Another option would be for the feasibility phase to be done by a consultant, as long as the fees are less than $25,000. This would not be part of the RFP. In this case, the consultant who draws up the specifications would not be eligible to bid on the second phase of the project. If the two phases are combined, as proposed, it very definitely needs to go out to bid and it is unknown what the costs will be. There have been no moneys allocated to pay for a consultant; therefore, the consultant will either not be paid until some of the funds are raised, or the fees will have to be paid via some other source.

As discussed earlier, $3,000 has been identified in the FY2000 budget to hire a consultant to work on the feasibility study. The question of what is or is not an appropriate and ethical way to compensate a fund raising contractor for services is controversial. Some take the position that it is not ethical to have fees based on a commission of the funds raised.

Director Ahrens suggested the RFP be written as a design/build project. This way, the consultant who conducts the feasibility study is the same consultant who actually conducts the fund raising portion of the project. This would be incorporated into the RFP right up front. She suggested about $4,000 to $5,000 be moved from the out-of-state travel budget for FY2000 and added to the $3,000 that has already been budgeted for a consultant. This may be enough money to create some interest in bidding by the firms. Perhaps part of the plan they come up with would be to get contributors to donate the seed money, she said. The RFP could spell-out what money is available, and the Commission’s projected outcome. Then the RFP could ask the bidders what we need to do, how much we should expect we will be able to raise, and by when.

The firms that will be bidding on the project will be inquiring about how much we want them to raise, Mr. Cox pointed-out. Mr. Hartgen replied the first phase of this project, the feasibility study, will determine what is possible.

MOTION: Director Ahrens moved an RFP be drafted with the concept of designing a fundraising plan and then implementing that plan, and that the Commission allocate $8,000 in fund raising contractor fees, and that a
subcommittee of the Commission be appointed to approve the RFP so that at
the July Commission meeting official approve the selection of the contractor
can be made. Mr. Erstad seconded the motion and Council passed it
unanimously by the members present.

Out-of-State Travel

Ms. Hartmans had conducted investigations into other states that are or have
conducted similar Capitol restoration projects. Based on her findings, she
recommended to the Commission that the states of Montana, South Dakota,
Michigan, and Wisconsin be possible candidates for members of the Commission
and staff to visit.

She said the Montana and Wisconsin projects are in progress; Michigan and South
Dakota projects are complete. Three of the four underwent the master plan
process that Idaho will be following, so it will be helpful to find out what part of
the process worked and what part did not work. All but Wisconsin’s projects are
about the same size as Idaho’s. Some of the states have had Capitol Commissions
in place for over 20 years. Montana and South Dakota were designed by the same
architect.

The Commission decided the Montana and the Michigan Capitol are the States
that will be toured by representatives of the Commission and staff. Both projects
are similar in size to Idaho’s project scope and building size. Both trips will be
scheduled for June.

Capitol Commission Ethical Guidelines

Ms. Guilfoy reviewed the Office of the Attorney General’s 1997 publication on
“Idaho Ethics in Government Manual” for the benefit of the Commissioners. The
manual states the Commission can establish its own internal guidelines, but it is
not obligated to do so. If guidelines were established, she said, they would have
to be as strict or more strict that the manual.

The manual addresses three sets of statutes that govern public officers of service
to the state–Bribery and Corrupt Influence Act, Prohibitions Against Contracts
with Officers, and Ethics in Government Act. All have spelled-out exceptions.

The Bribery and Corrupt Influence Act is a criminal statute and is to prevent
public servants and officers from gaining pecuniary benefit as a result of their
positions. The Prohibition against Contracts with Officers’ general intent is to
eliminate establishing a contract with an officer or a member of a board. The contract would consequently be voidable by the other party. Finally, the Ethics in Government prohibits transactions where there is a conflict of interest. She encouraged the members to ask if they have any questions about whether or not they observe or suspect a potential conflict.

MOTION: Mr. Hartgen moved the minutes reflect the Commission has been briefed by a deputy attorney general regarding Idaho’s conflict of interest and ethics in government and that the Commission has agreed to adhere to the laws and the guidelines in all of its dealings. Ms. Shadduck seconded the motion and the Commission passed it unanimously by the members present.

Policies vs Rules

The intent of this agenda item, Chairman Eiguren indicated, is to consider whether or not the Commission may need to consider rules or policies in regard to public use of the Capitol and its grounds, and for placing displays in the building. There is a provision now in Idaho law that provides the director of the Department of Administration legal authority to enter into rules under the Administrative Procedures Act for control, access and use of the Capitol Building.

Director Ahrens responded that while the law allows for the promulgation of rules, it comes with an associated expense. Public hearings have to be held, and they must be published in the newspaper. Once rules are adopted, there is an assessment of $51 per page to maintain them in the Administrative Code; therefore, an ongoing appropriation would be necessary.

The Commission was provided with what department guidelines are currently in use as far as daily usage and displays. She asked the members to review them in anticipation of adopting an official set at their next meeting. The Commission needs a policy that is equitable to everyone, she said, and guidelines can be much more flexible in the management of the building.

Director Ahrens also addressed proposed guidelines for temporary displays within the Capitol that the Commission will be asked to adopt. There is a Capitol Display Committee currently in place with representation of the Department of Administration and the State Historical Society. In the past, this Committee has had the responsibility to oversee the placement of displays in the building.

Idaho Code 67-1608(4) provides the Commission power to review and approve all proposals involving art, memorials, statues, and exhibits to be placed on a permanent or temporary basis in public spaces of the Capitol Building. It also
provides that all display proposals be in conformance with the Capitol master plan, and may not be implemented without Commission approval.

**Historical Society Progress Report**

Ms. Audra Sims, Interpretive Specialist with the State Historical Society announced that the educational program within the Capitol not only includes the tour program, but an adult education component, as well—the brown bag series. These sessions have been and will continue to be sponsored by the historical society during the noon hours in or around the Capitol Building addressing various historic subjects—many having to do with the building itself.

As of April of this year, the Capitol has had about 9,500 tour program participants. Each tour group is given evaluation forms, she said, and not only do they evaluate the program content, but the site as well. The evaluations have been very complimentary of the program.

The only areas of the evaluation which received low marks was accessibility and cleanliness of the site. Some accessibility issues involved parking, and others mentioned handicap accessibility. Existing restroom facilities in the building, she commented, do not accommodate the large groups that visit the building.

Chairman Eiguren inquired if the Commission might include a few additional questions in the tour questionnaire to glean specific information from the public on such things as the elevators, for example. This data would provide input for the master planning process. He mentioned that perhaps space for educational purposes could be proposed, as well. The superintendent of Public Instruction, Dr. Marilyn Howard, made a similar request.

**Historical Furnishings**

The Historical Society has begun to catalog some of the items that are located in the Capitol Building. Authenticity of those items will follow.

**Spanish American War Battle Flags**

Restoration of the Spanish American War Battle Flags is expected to be a three-phase project, Ms. Sims reported. The first phase will be removal of the flags from their current location in the Statehouse. Each flag has to be removed, wrapped in acid-free paper, and taken to the Historical Society offices to be examined. Written documentation is done and photos taken, as well as a possible video tape. A bid package will then be prepared to go to each of the individual
conservators that can provide flag restoration services. Once the package is in the hands of the conservators, it will be at least two weeks before a response is received.

Phase II will be to send the flags to the selected conservator. Estimated time of completion is unknown; the original Idaho flag took two years to conserve. Once the flags are conserved they are to be displayed in an airtight, vacuum-sealed case with support on all surfaces. Finally, Phase III is the actual placement of the display in the Capitol.

Capitol Chapel Proposal

Chairman Eiguren reported on a letter he received from Representative Mader, as well as comments directed to him by leadership regarding an interest in establishing a non-denominational chapel in the Capitol. It is an issue, he said, that will be deferred until it is addressed as part of the master planning process.

Miscellaneous Items

Vending in the Statehouse

At the last meeting, Director Ahrens recalled, Commissioners asked that research be done on the question of vending in the Statehouse. This question is in line with the anticipation that the Historical Society will establish a kiosk that may be used for fund raising purposes.

The research was whether or not the Commission for the Blind and Visually Impaired is the only entity with permission to sell anything in the Capitol Building. Subsequent to review by the department’s deputy attorney general, she said, the legal response is “no”. The Commission for the Blind and Visually Impaired preference only applies to food service facilities in government buildings, including the Capitol. The preference provided by statute does not apply to non-food items. A kiosk operated by the Historical Society could not infringe on that preference.
Space Reports from Elected Officials

Thus far, Director Ahrens said, a response to Charman Eiguren’s letter regarding future space needs by elected officials has been received from Legislative Services, the House of Representatives, and State Superintendent of Public Instruction.

Chairman Eiguren added that he, Director Ahrens, and Ms. Hartmans met with Legislative leadership just the day before, regarding the Capitol master planning process. Leadership requested they continue to meet on a regular basis to keep Council apprised of the direction of the Commission.

Meeting Dates for Remainder of 1999

Director Ahrens proposed the next three Commission meetings be held on Wednesday, July 28th, Friday, September 24th, and Friday, November 12th, beginning at 8:30 a.m.

Adjournment

Chairman Eiguren adjourned the May 7, 1999 meeting of the Idaho State Capitol Commission at about 3:00 p.m.

__________________________________
Roy Lewis Eiguren, Chairman
Idaho State Capitol Commission

__________________________________
Diane K. Garcia, Management Assistant
Department of Administration